

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/000,062 | Applicant(s) ISHAK, ANDREW | |
| | Examiner Deborah A. Raizen | Art Unit 2873 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Deborah A. Raizen.

(3) _____.

 (2) Roy Craig.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2005
Time: 3:31 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In response to a telephonic inquiry from the examiner on Feb. 16, 2005, Mr. Craig said that no response was filed in 10/000062 (although a continuation had been filed). A Notice of Abandonment is enclosed in the current mailing.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 Scott J. Sugarman
 Primary Examiner



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)